ORIGINAL PAPER

H. Buqa · D. Goers · M. E. Spahr · P. Novák

The influence of graphite surface modification on the exfoliation during electrochemical lithium insertion

Received: 15 November 2002 / Accepted: 11 June 2003 / Published online: 18 November 2003 © Springer-Verlag 2003

Keywords Anode · Graphite · Graphite surface · Lithium-ion battery · Solid electrolyte interphase

Lithium-ion cells have good performance in terms of high voltage, high specific energy, and long cycle life. Graphitic carbons are the first-choice anode materials in lithium-ion cells for the reasons of performance and cost. It is now well known that, in lithium-ion cells the carbon surface is always covered by a protective thin solid film called the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI). The SEI formation mechanism is rather complex and not yet completely understood. Lithium ions are consumed for the formation of this protective film. This decreases the maximum possible charge and energy density of the battery. Thus, losses have to be minimized.

For an optimum anode performance, both a careful selection of the graphite material and surface modification prior to electrochemical operation is obviously advantageous [1, 2]. In this contribution, we report on the effects of graphite surface modification on its exfoliation (i.e., on the irreversible lithium consumption) during electrochemical lithium intercalation into graphite electrode.

Presented at the 3rd International Meeting "Advanced Batteries and Accumulators", June 16th–20th 2002, Brno, Czech Republic

H. Buqa (⊠) · D. Goers · P. Novák Laboratory for Electrochemistry,
Paul Scherrer Institute,
5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland
E-mail: hilmi.buqa@psi.ch
Fax: + 41 56 310 4415

M. E. Spahr TIMCAL Group, 6743 Bodio, Switzerland The surface of graphite particles can be modified by, e.g., heat treatment and/or oxidation. For the better anode performance the heat treatment conditions have to be carefully selected with respect to the electrochemical behavior of graphite.

In Fig. 1, the effects of graphite surface modification on the SEI formation are shown for an example, the





Fig. 1 First electrochemical insertion (*top*) and de-insertion (*bottom*) of Li^+ into TIMREX SLX50-HT oxidized at different temperatures. Electrolyte: 1 M LiPF₆ in EC:DMC (1:1), current 10 mA/g

oxidation of TIMREX SLX50-HT in air at different temperatures for 1 h. Synthetic graphite TIMREX SLX50 was modified by heat treating this graphite at 3000 °C under an inert gas atmosphere for 2 weeks. This modified graphite TIMREX SLX50-HT has a purely hexagonal structure and is very sensitive to exfoliation.

The electrochemical measurements were performed in sealed test cells described elsewhere [3]. 1 M LiPF₆ in EC (ethylene carbonate) : DMC (dimethyl carbonate) (1:1) was used as the electrolyte. A specific current of 10 mA/g of graphite was used in the galvanostatic charge/discharge experiments.

The exfoliation of the graphite structure during electrochemical lithium intercalation, manifested by potential plateaus at ca. 0.4 V vs. Li/Li^+ , strongly depends on the oxidation temperature. Treatments at temperatures lower than 700 °C give rise, in 1 M LiPF₆ EC : DMC to an exfoliation plateau negative at ca. 0.4 V vs. Li/Li⁺. When oxidized at 700 °C, the graphite SLX50-HT showed no evidence of exfoliation of the graphite structure during first electrochemical Li⁺ insertion.

Best electrochemical results in terms of reduced irreversible capacities have been obtained when the graphite was oxidized at 700 °C. Thus, we demon-

strated that the treatment oxidation of TIMREX SLX50-HT at an optimum temperature leads to significant improvement of its electrochemical performance. From other experiments we know that the treatment affected both the surface morphology and surface group chemistry of the graphite. In conclusion, oxidation treatment of graphite can result in different anode performance in terms of graphite exfoliation. The oxidation temperature has an influence on the electrochemical properties, particularly on the formation of the SEI layer.

Acknowledgments We thank Dr. H. Wilhelm (TIMCAL SA) and Dr. F. Joho (PSI, now with ESEC SA) for numerous helpful discussions and the European Community for financial support of a part of this work.

References

- 1. Spahr ME, Wilhelm H, Joho F, Panitz JC, Wambach J, Novák P, Dupont-Pavlovsky N (2002) J Electrochem Soc (in press)
- Buqa H, Golob P, Winter M, Besenhard JO (2001) J Power Sources 97–98:122
- Joho F, Rykart B, Blome A, Novák P, Wilhelm H, Spahr ME J Power Sources 97–98:78